

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/710,850	WATANABE, HIDEO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Zarroli	2839	

All Participants:

Status of Application: rejected

(1) Michael C. Zarroli (USPTO).

(3) _____.

(2) Song Zhu (aty).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8, 9

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In preparation for allowance, the examiner called the aty to question where in the specification & drawings the last two lines of claim 8 could be found. Support for these claim limitations could not be found. The aty and examiner agreed there might be a typo or missphrasing in these lines..